29TH JUNE 1967 209

In addition to these relief works, the Emergency Feeding Programme was also taken up during the period of scarcity in these taluks. In view of the adverse seasonal conditions prevailed during the last two years, no coercive measures were taken up for recovery of the land revenue dues.

(c) In view of satisfactory seasonal conditions and good harvest of crops during the current year, any relief measures such as to suspend the collection of land revenue are considered unnecessary at present.

Members' Representation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಸ್ಟಾಮಿ, ನಾನೊಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಗ್ಯಾಲರಿಯೆಲ್ಲಾ ಖಾಲ ಇದೆ, ಬಾಗಿಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದವರನ್ನು ದೆಬ್ಬುವ ದೃಶ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಾನು ನೋಡಿದೆ, ನನ್ನ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದವರನ್ನೂ ದಬ್ಬದರು. ಇದು ಮರ್ಯಾದೆ ತರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಿರ್ಬಂಧ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಹಾಕೋಣ. ಪೆಕ್ರೆಟೀರಿಯಟ್ ನೊಳಗೆ ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆಯೂ ಇದೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೇ ಪೋಲೀಸರ ಆಶ್ರಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದಾದರೆ ಮರದ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ನಡೆಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

MATTER OF PRIVILEGE GIVEN NOTICE BY Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are notices of two Privilege Motions by Sri Nanje Gowda. If the Hon'ble member is not ready, I will take them up tomorrow. The hon'ble member has aaid in his notice that the Hon'ble Chief Minister made a statement on the floor of the House on 27th June 1967 that he knows for certain that some members whose names he did not like to disclose, are parties to the offences connected with 'Vidhana Soudha Chalo' while actually the entire question is seized by the Court, this amounts to breach of privilege of this House.

If the matter is pending in a Court and if any reference is made, privilege of this House is broken!

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲರುವ ಕೇಸಿಗೂ ಏನು ನಂಬಂಧ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will look into it. The other notice given is under Rule 177. The Rule says: 'a member may, with the consent of the Speaker, raise a question inolving a breach of privilege either of a member, or of the Assembly or of a Committee thereof,' member has not asked for my consent. He has given notice to me and I will take it by implication that he wants to ask for my consent. He may please bear in mind that he should not invoke this rule in future without asking for consent. In the notice he has said that the Hon'ble Minister is incorrect and has deliberately made it to mislead the House. Records in possession of Government do not substantiate the statement made. This deliberate misleading has involved breach of privilege of the He wants the House to understand that if there is contradiction at once a matter of privilege arises. So, I will take it up tomorrow. I am not assuming that there is contradiction for the purpose of considering that it should go before the Privileges Committee. If so many arguments are advanced and so many statements are sought to be made is the Privileges Committee going to find the causes and find out which of the two is correct?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihar).—Whether it is deliberately made or not, with a view to mislead is one of the points to be considered. I can understand a person making a bona fide mistake. Sometimes, by lapse of memory, we may not say correctly. But, if it is deliberately and with a view to mislead the House, then it becomes a matter of privilege.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble member has not seen the contents of the notice, I am prepared to send it on to him because I do not want that anything should be shut out. I refer always the member who gives the notice to tell me and other members only if I ask so that we may have a uniform procedure. Would the hon'ble member, Sri Nanje Gowda like to discuss this with his party members? When one member gives notice, let him take counsel from other members also. If there is any other authority that the member has in support of his contention he may kindly tell me that also. Merely saying that there is contradiction does not normally satisfy me. I will keep it open. We will have it tomorrow.